UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	<

\_\_\_\_\_

**CHARMAINE A. DIXON,** 

Plaintiff,

8:19-CV-0066

٧.

SERGEANT COLON, et al.,

Defendants.

THOMAS J. McAVOY, Senior United States District Judge

## **DECISION & ORDER**

## I. INTRODUCTION

This pro se action was referred to the Hon. Christian F. Hummel, United States

Magistrate Judge, for an initial review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). No objections to

Magistrate Judge Hummel's June 24, 2019 Report-Recommendation and Order [Dkt. No. 6]

have been filed, and the time to do so has expired.

## II. DISCUSSION

Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that Defendants violated her constitutional rights pursuant to the Eighth Amendment by suspending her visitation with her incarcerated son. *See generally* Compl. Plaintiff asserts that she is "not looking for money damages" but rather to have her visitation restored. *Id.*, at 6. Magistrate Judge Hummel recommends that the Complaint be dismissed with prejudice because

Plaintiff does not retain a constitutional right to visitation with her incarcerated son, and because the suspension of Plaintiff's visitation privileges ended nearly two months ago making her requested relief moot. See Report-Recommendation and Order, at 5-7.

After examining the record, this Court has determined that the recommendation in the Report-Recommendation and Order is not subject to attack for plain error or manifest injustice.

## III. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the Court **ACCEPTS and ADOPTS** the recommendation in the Report-Recommendation and Order [Dkt. No. 6] for the reasons stated therein. Therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint (Dkt. No. 2) is DISMISSED with prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:June 4, 2019

Thomas J. Maxvoy

Senior, U.S. District Judge